WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

&

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Administrative Member.

Case No -OA-493 of 2019.

Manas Chakraborty. -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.

	Manas ChakrabortyVs- The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	For the Applicant: Mr. A. Banerjee,	
5	Mr. A. B. Chakraborty,	
16.1.2020.	Advocates.	
	For the City Decree Acres Ma M. N. De	
	For the State Respondents : Mr. M. N. Roy, Advocate.	
	Tav ocate.	
	In this application, Manas Chakraborty, the	
	applicant, who applied for the post of Constable in	
	Kolkata Police, 2012 recruitment process, has prayed for	
	certain reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as under :-	
	"a) An order do issue	
	directing the respondent concerned to	
	give an appointment to the applicant	
	for the post of Constable under	
	Kolkata Police 2012 being a selected	
	and empanelled candidate for the said	
	selection process,	
	b) Direction upon the respondent	
	authorities to take all necessary steps	
	for appointment of the applicant to	
	the post of Constable under Kolkata	
	Police.	
	c) A direction upon the respondent	
	authority to transmit the entire	
	record of selection process for the post	

Form No

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Manas Chakraborty.

Case No. OA-493 of 2019.

Case No. <u>OA-493</u>	<u>of 2019.</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	of Constable under Kolkata Police	
	2012 before this Hon'ble Tribunal, so	
	that conscionable justice may be	
	given, after perusing the same.	
	After the matter was admitted on 22 nd August,	
	2019, directions were issued to file reply and rejoinder	
	and the point of maintainability was kept open.	
	Mr. A. Banerjee, learned advocate for the applicant	
	submits that as the applicant had succeeded in	
	measurement as well as in the other parameters of the	
	selection process and was called for interview and as his	
	name appears under serial no. 1854, appearing at page	
	16 of the application, appropriate order as prayed for	
	may be passed. Referring to the reply it is submitted that	
	since the statements made therein, particularly	
	paragraph-6 (ii) is not based on record, the record may	
	be directed to be called for.	
	Mr. M. N. Roy, learned advocate appearing on	
	behalf of the State respondents relying on the reply	
	submits that since the cause of action, if any, arose in the	
	year 2013 and as this application was moved in 2019 and	
	as the point of maintainability was kept open in view of	
	the provisions in Section-21 of the Administrative Tribunals	

	Manas Chakraborty.
orm No.	***************************************

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessal
1		3
	Act, 1985 and since the statements made in paragraph-6	
	particularly sub paragraph (ii) of the reply have not been	
	controverted in the rejoinder and as the records have	
	been destroyed pursuant to the resolution taken by the	
	Kolkata Police Recruitment Board being annexure-'X-1'	
	to the reply, no order may be passed.	
	Heard learned advocates for the parties.	
	There is no dispute that the recruitment process	
	took place in the year 2012.	
	The question is whether the applicant succeeded in	
	the selection process. In this regard it is appropriate to	
	refer paragraph-6 (ii) of the reply, which is as under:-	
	" 6 (ii) With reference to the	
	averments made in paragraph 4 (a) to	
	4 (i) of the said Original Application,	
	I deny and dispute everything	
	contained therein save and except	
	what are matters of record. I further	
	state the said recruitment drive was	
	taken up by the Kolkata Police	
	Recruitment Board and an	
	advertisement was published on 12 th	
	January, 2012. The applicant in the	
	Original Application has stated that,	
	he applied accordingly, the Admit	

Manas Chakraborty. Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	card was issued to him. I further state	
	that, the entire selection process	
	carried in fair and unbiased manner	
	and it is also not the case of the	
	applicant that, there was any	
	malpractice that took place in the said	
	recruitment drive. I further state	
	that, the list annexed with the	
	Original Application, wherein the	
	name of the applicant appeared at	
	serial no. 1854 is the list containing	
	the name of the shortlisted eligible	
	candidates, who were called in the	
	interview/viva voce test and not the	
	merit list as stated by the applicant in	
	the instant Original Application. On	
	completion of the interview a Final	
	Merit list was published in the	
	website, wherein names of 2354	
	provisionally selected candidates	
	featured, out of which 1153 were	
	from Unreserved Category, 576 from	
	Scheduled Caste Category, 176 from	
	Scheduled Tribe Category, 262 from	
	OBC (A) Category, 185 from OBC	

Manas Chakraborty. Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessare 3
	(B) Category and 2 Ex-Serviceman	
	candidates were provisionally	
	selected. The name of the applicant	
	never appeared in the list of	
	provisionally selected candidates.	
	The said paragraph has been dealt with in	
	paragraph-7 of the rejoinder filed by the applicant,	
	which is as under:-	
	" 7. With reference to the	
	allegations made in paragraph no.	
	6(ii) I repeat and reiterate the	
	statement made in paragraph no. 4(a)	
	to 4(i) and also relying the above	
	statements herein above. I also deny	
	and dispute the allegations made	
	therein save and except what are	
	matter of record. I state that applicant	
	participated in the selection test both	
	physical and measurement and also	
	in the written and oral test. Finally I	
	came out successfully in the said test	
	and I was empanelled for	
	appointment from the list which was	
	prepared by the respondent authority.	

Form No.		

Manas	Chakraborty.
-------	--------------

Case No. <u>OA-493 of 201</u>		Office estion with date
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	The date given by the answering	
	respondent regarding the selection of	
	unreserved category where my name	
	has been placed by the respondent	
	authority as a successful candidate	
	within 1153 from the gross merit list	
	as annexed in the O. A. Application.	
	So, the allegation on non	
	selection against my candidature is	
	not tenable in the eye of law as well	
	as in fact. I challenge the illegal	
	action on the part of the respondent	
	in not giving me the appointment to	
	the said post.	
	Hence I submit that the	
	respondent should be directed by the	
	Hon'ble Tribunal to produce all the	
	records resolution meeting records	
	and selection record including my	
	selection before this Hon'ble Tribunal	
	so that justice may be rendered to the	
	applicant in deciding the Original	
	Application"	
	Since the name of the applicant never appeared i	n
the		
tric	provident belief int which has not bee	

	Manas Chakraborty.
Form No.	•••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA-493 o</u>	<u>of 2019.</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	controverted in the rejoinder, no order can be passed on	
	the application. It is also very pertinent to note that as	
	the point of maintainability of the the application has	
	been kept open, as the cause of action, if any, arose in the	
	year 2013 and this application was filed in 2019 and as	
	this matter was not pending in 2012 and 2013 and since	
	the records have been destroyed pursuant to the	
	resolution taken, as evident from annexure 'X1' of the	
	reply, no order can be passed on the application. Hence	
	no order is passed on the application. The application is	
	disposed of.	
	(P. RAMESH KUMAR) (SOUMITRA PAL) MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	
_		
Sourav.		